

**SOLVENCY
AND FINANCIAL CONDITION REPORT**

**EUROLIFE LTD
FOR THE YEAR ENDING
31 DECEMBER 2016**

Preliminary unaudited

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary	5
1.1 Overview	5
1.2 Business and performance.....	5
1.3 System of Governance	5
1.4 Risk profile	6
1.5 Valuation for solvency purposes.....	6
1.6 Capital Management.....	7
A. Business and performance	9
A.1 Business	9
A.2 Underwriting performance.....	9
A.3 Investment performance	10
A.4 Other.....	11
B. System of Governance	13
B.1 General information on the System of Governance.....	13
B.1.1 Roles & Responsibilities of the Board, Senior Management and Key Functions.....	13
B.1.2 Material changes in the system of governance during 2016.....	16
B.1.3 Remuneration Policy and Practices	17
B.1.4 Material Transactions during 2016.....	17
B.2 Fit and Proper Requirements.....	17
B.2.1 Requirements for skills, knowledge and expertise.....	17
B.2.2 Fit and proper assessment process	18
B.3 Risk Management System and ORSA process	18
B.3.1 Risk Management System.....	18
B.3.2 ORSA process	19
B.4 Internal Control System	20
B.4.1 Description of the Internal Control System	20
B.4.2 Implementation of the Compliance Function.....	20
B.5 Internal Audit Function	21
B.5.1 Implementation of the Internal Audit Function	21
B.5.2 Independence of the Internal Audit Function	22
B.6 Actuarial Function.....	22
B.7 Outsourcing.....	22

B.8 Adequacy of the system of governance	23
C. Risk Profile	25
C.1 Underwriting Risk	25
C.2 Market Risk	27
C.3 Credit Risk	29
C.4 Liquidity Risk	30
C.5 Operational Risk.....	30
C.6 Other Material Risks	31
C.7 Other information.....	31
D. Valuation for Solvency purposes	33
D.1 Assets.....	33
D.2 Technical Provisions	34
D.3 Liabilities (other than technical provisions)	36
D.4 Other.....	37
E. Capital Management.....	39
E.1 Own Funds	39
E.2 Solvency Capital Requirement and Minimum Capital Requirement	40
E.3 Non-Compliance with the MCR and Non-Compliance with the SCR	40
E.4 Other	40
F. Glossary.....	42
G. Templates	44
H. Audit Report.....	46

1. Executive Summary

1. Executive Summary

1.1 Overview

EuroLife Limited (“the Company”) is incorporated in Cyprus and is a company limited by shares. The Company operates predominantly in Cyprus and is a 100% subsidiary of the Bank of Cyprus (BoC) Group. The Company offers individual unit-linked products and simple term cover products, as well as individual accident and health products. It also offers life and accident cover on a group basis. During 2016 it commenced the provision of services of administering Occupational Pension Scheme assets.

The purpose of the Solvency and Financial Condition Report (SFCR) is to satisfy the public disclosure requirements under the article 304(1) of the Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/35. The elements of the disclosure relate to business performance, governance, risk profile, valuation for solvency purposes and capital management. The SFCR has been prepared with reference date 31 December 2016. As this is the first SFCR, we have not included a comparison with prior year throughout the report.

According to article 74(2) of the Law on Insurance and Reinsurance Services and Other Related Business of 2016, the independent auditors of the Company audit certain information which is defined in the “Orders of the Superintendent of Insurance in relation to the annual audit of the Solvency and Financial Condition Report” and they submit a separate report in relation to it on the date of submission of the SFCR. The Report is published on the Company’s website at www.eurolife.com.cy.

1.2 Business and performance

The Company prepares its financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). On an IFRS basis the Company had an after tax underwriting profit of €8,4m with premium income of €99,2m. The Company’s assets (unit-linked and own assets) are prudently invested taking into account the liquidity requirements of the business and the timing of the insurance liabilities.

The Company has continuously complied with all aspects of the Solvency II regulations from the date of first implementation on 1 January 2016.

1.3 System of Governance

The Board of Directors (BoD/Board) of the Company is committed to good governance which is vital to creating trust and engagement between the Company and its stakeholders and contributes towards its long-term success. A key objective of the governance framework of the Company is to ensure compliance with applicable legal and regulatory requirements and based on best practices of corporate governance and corporate administration.

The Company aims to ensure on an ongoing basis that it is a modern, transparent and competitive organisation. By adopting best practices, the Company achieves a dynamic and effective communication with the Board, management and shareholder leading to a successful implementation of its strategy and a more than adequate framework of corporate governance.

The Board of Directors maintains overall responsibility for the management of the Company, including ultimate oversight of the Company’s operations. There are currently 7 members of the Board of which 2 are Independent Non-Executive Directors, 3 are Non-Executive Directors and 2 are Executive Directors.

The Company’s Board monitors the performance of Senior Management and gives guidance and advice, where appropriate. In order to strengthen its internal control system, the Company has set up the following internal control functions, in accordance with Solvency II requirements:

- Risk Management Function
- Actuarial Function
- Compliance Function
- Internal Audit Function

During the year 2016 the following changes in the Company's governance system took place:

- 2 Independent Non-Executive Directors were appointed to the Board and 1 Non-Executive Director resigned, bringing the number of Board members to a current total of 7.
- The Audit Committee and Risk Committee were activated.
- 2 further members were appointed to the Senior Management Team.
- A Service Level Agreement was entered into between the Company and the BoC Group for the outsourcing of the Company's Internal Audit Function.
- The Board appointed a Corporate Governance Compliance Officer for the purposes of assisting in the evaluation of the Board's operations and the system of governance.

1.4 Risk profile

A key component of the risk management system and the Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA) process is the annual risk assessment exercise, through which the Company assesses its position regarding the different risks to which it is or might be exposed, with the use of a Risk Register. The assessment covers all risk types, including less-quantifiable risks and aims to determine the Company's risk profile taking into consideration its risk appetite. It is based on quantitative and qualitative criteria, prior experience and expert judgment.

The main results of the risk assessment and ORSA process performed in 2016 are summarized below:

- Market and Credit risks were considered material due to the large exposure to the Company's banking counterparties. As part of the ORSA process carried out in 2016, a stress test regarding counterparty default risk was performed, which indicated that the Company's solvency position remained within the risk appetite limit. Nevertheless, as per the relevant Board decision taken, the Company's material exposures to its banking counterparties were significantly reduced by the end of the year.
- Operational risk was also considered as a material risk. In particular, the Company had some manual procedures and some deficiencies in relation to its information security mechanisms. Relevant mitigating actions were approved by the Board during the review and approval of the ORSA Report for 2016.
- Underwriting and Liquidity risks were not considered as material risks.

1.5 Valuation for solvency purposes

The valuation of assets and liabilities for Solvency II purposes is the same as IFRS except:

- Differences in the valuation of technical provisions and associated reinsurance recoverables.
- The financial statements include intangibles under assets, which are valued at zero under Solvency II.
- The Solvency II balance sheet includes a deferred tax liability arising from the change in technical provisions under Solvency II compared to those under IFRS.

These differences are summarized below:

	31.12.2016
	€'000
Total own funds per Financial Statements	55.118
Intangible assets	(9.033)
Change in valuation of Technical Provisions (net)	105.508
Change in Surplus	(358)
Deferred Tax Liability	(13.144)
Total own funds per Solvency II	138.091

1.6 Capital Management

The Solvency coverage ratio at 31 December 2016 was 239% with own funds of €138,1m, a Solvency Capital Requirement (SCR) of €57,9m and a Minimum Capital Requirement (MCR) of €14,5m. The final amount of the SCR and MCR remains subject to supervisory assessment.

The objective of own funds management is to maintain, at all times, sufficient own funds to cover the SCR and MCR with an appropriate buffer. The Company has maintained capital sufficient to meet its MCR and SCR throughout the period covered by this report.

A. Business and performance

A. Business and performance

A.1 Business

EuroLife Limited (“the Company”) is incorporated in Cyprus and is a company limited by shares. The address of the registered office is Evrou 4, Strovolos, P.O. Box 2003, Nicosia, Cyprus. This Solvency and Financial Condition Report (SFCR) covers EuroLife Limited on a solo basis.

The Company is regulated by the Insurance Companies Control Service (ICCS). The independent auditors of the Company is Ernst & Young Cyprus Limited, Certified Public Accountants and Registered Auditors.

The Company is a 100% subsidiary of the Bank of Cyprus (BoC) Group. Bank of Cyprus Public Company Ltd was the holding company of the BoC Group during 2016 and as at the balance sheet date. From 18 January 2017, Bank of Cyprus Holdings Public Limited Company became the sole shareholder of the Bank of Cyprus Public Company Ltd. EuroLife’s position within the BoC Group can be found in the consolidated financial statements of the BoC Group. The consolidated financial statements are published on the BoC website at www.bankofcyprus.com.

The Company offers individual unit-linked products and simple term cover products, as well as individual accident and health products. It also offers life and accident cover on a group basis. During 2016 it commenced the provision of services of administering Occupational Pension Scheme assets. The Company prepares its financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Under IFRS (and also for management reporting purposes), the business is divided into Life, Health and Occupational Pensions.

For Solvency II purposes the following lines are used:

- Health insurance:
 - Non-SLT Health (Similar to non-Life Techniques)
 - SLT Health obligations (Similar to Life Techniques)
- Life insurance with profit participation
- Index-linked and unit-linked insurance: Insurance obligations with index-linked and unit-linked features
- Other life insurance

These Solvency II lines of business are used when reporting the premium, claims, expenses and technical provisions in the Solvency II Quantitative Reporting Templates (QRTs).

The Company is engaged in the business of life, health and occupational pensions in Cyprus and Greece. In Greece, the Company operates through a branch under the name of Kyprou Zois. Kyprou Zois is currently operated as a run-off business.

There have been no significant events that have occurred in the reporting period that have had a material impact on the Company.

A.2 Underwriting performance

Since the Company prepares its financial statements in accordance with IFRS, the underwriting performance information given in this section is on an IFRS basis. As this is the first SFCR, we have not included a comparison with prior year.

The table below shows the underwriting performance per line of business as shown in the Company’s financial statements:

Underwriting performance	2016
	€'000
Underwriting performance – Life	8.530
Underwriting performance – Health	(118)
Underwriting performance – Occupational Pension	13
Total net underwriting performance (based on IFRS)	8.425

€0,8m of the life underwriting performance relates to the life operations in Greece.

Life underwriting performance:

A significant part of the underwriting performance arose from profits from the risk premium business. The underwriting performance was positively affected from the favorable mortality experience, the contribution of investment management fees and the nil allocation margin reflecting both the increase in new business sales as well as the shift to products with lower expense margins and investments returns in excess of valuation requirements. The underwriting performance was negatively affected from the significant increase in the technical provisions which mainly arose from the reduction in the valuation rate of interest.

Health underwriting performance:

The underwriting performance of health business was negative mainly as a result of higher claims experience.

A.3 Investment performance

Since the Company prepares its financial statements in accordance with IFRS, the investment performance information given in this section is on an IFRS basis. As this is the first SFCR, we have not included a comparison with prior year.

Unit-linked assets:

The composition of the unit-linked assets and information on income and expenses arising from investments by asset class are shown below:

Asset class	Position as at 31.12.2016	Income/gains and losses -2016
	%	€'000
Government Bonds	4,23	1.012
Corporate Bonds	5,97	551
Equity instruments	2,41	944
Cash and deposits	7,97	230
Mortgages and loans	0,21	45
Properties	3,53	1.651
Collective investments undertakings	75,68	15.772
	100,00	20.205

The table below shows the composition of unit-linked assets and annualised returns for 2016 (net of fees) as disclosed in policyholder literature:

Asset class	Balanced Fund	Guaranteed Fund	Income Fund	Growth Fund	Manulife Investment Fund	GIC Investors Fund	Conservative Fund
31.12.2016	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Local equities and equity funds	0,38	-	-	0,38	0,38	0,38	-
Foreign equities and equity funds	42,31	-	10,13	66,72	42,31	42,31	17,10
Local bonds and debts	4,58	-	8,67	2,15	4,58	4,58	-
Foreign bonds and debts	36,92	-	69,92	17,33	36,92	36,92	-
Cash and Cash equivalents	4,70	100,00	7,92	4,55	4,70	4,70	82,90
Loans	0,25	-	-	-	0,25	0,25	-
Property Investments	10,86	-	3,36	8,87	10,86	10,86	-
	100,00	100,00	100,00	100,00	100,00	100,00	100,00
Annualised return (net of fees) for 2016	5,10	0,00	2,86	6,45	5,35	4,53	-0,20

Own assets:

The composition of the assets held by the Company and information on income and expenses arising from investments by asset class are shown below:

Asset class	Position as at 31.12.2016	Income/gains and losses - 2016
	%	€'000
Government Bonds	4,44	495
Corporate Bonds	5,16	553
Cash and deposits	33,53	984
Mortgages and loans	0,44	12
Properties	15,16	667
Collective investments undertakings	41,27	3.089
	100,00	5.800

All assets are classified at fair value through profit and loss therefore no gains and losses are recognised directly in equity.

A.4 Other

There have been no other significant activities undertaken by the Company other than its insurance and related activities. There are no other material matters in respect of the business or performance of the Company.

B. System of Governance

B. System of Governance

The Company has in place a system of governance which provides for the sound and prudent management of the business. The Company’s governance system includes a transparent organisational structure with a clear allocation of responsibilities and appropriate segregation of duties.

B.1 General information on the System of Governance

B.1.1 Roles & Responsibilities of the Board, Senior Management and Key Functions

Board of Directors


The Board of Directors (BoD/Board) maintains overall responsibility for the management of the Company, including ultimate oversight of the Company’s operations. There are currently 7 members of the Board of which 2 are Independent Non-Executive Directors, 3 are Non-Executive Directors and 2 are Executive Directors.

The Company’s Board monitors the performance of Senior Management and gives guidance and advice, where appropriate. As part of performing its oversight function, the Board reviews and discusses reports submitted by Senior Management and internal control functions regularly and it maintains active and open communication with the General Manager and Senior Management.

In general, the Board is responsible for the following:

- Setting the strategy, tone, culture and values of the Company,
- Establishing the Company’s internal control and risk management systems and monitoring their implementation and effectiveness,
- Overseeing Senior Management and establishing sound business practices and strategic planning,
- Setting the Company’s risk appetite and risk tolerance limits at a level which is proportionate with the strategic goals of the Company and the nature, scope and complexity of its activities,
- Defining and approving the Company’s policies and procedures to ensure its sound operation and compliance with regulatory requirements, taking appropriate measures to address any deficiencies.

Overview of Board and Committees



Board Committees

The Board has established two Committees, the Risk Committee and the Audit Committee to assist it in discharging its obligations. Matters not reserved to the Board are delegated to the aforesaid Board Committees. However, delegation does not release the Board from collectively discharging its responsibilities. The terms of reference of the two Committees set out the composition, meeting requirements, responsibilities and authority delegated from the Board to each Committee as well as the reporting requirements of the Committees to the Board.

Risk Committee

The Chairperson of the Risk Committee is an Independent Non-Executive Director who reports to the Board the activities of the Risk Committee. The Risk Committee is composed exclusively of 3 Non-Executive Directors, the two of which are independent.

The main purpose of the Committee is to review on behalf of the Board, the aggregate risk profile of the Company, including performance against Risk Appetite for all risk types and ensure that both Risk Profile and Risk Appetite remain appropriate. The responsibilities of the Committee include:

- Reviewing management proposals on the desired risk strategy of the Company and assisting the Board in overseeing the effective implementation of risk strategy by management,
- The oversight of the development, implementation and maintenance of the Company's overall Risk Management Strategy and Framework,
- Reviewing and recommending for Board approval all Risk policies of the Company,
- Determining the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's Risk Management System and Risk Management Function,
- Monitoring and reviewing reports to external stakeholders on risk matters.

Audit Committee

The Chairperson of the Audit Committee is an Independent Non-Executive Director who reports to the Board the activities of the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is composed exclusively of 3 Non-Executive Directors, two of which are independent.

The Audit Committee is responsible for the review and monitoring of, among other things:

- The effectiveness of the Company's system of internal controls,
- The integrity of the Company's financial statements,
- The effectiveness of the internal and external audit processes,
- The Company's relationship with its external auditors,
- The adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's Internal Audit Function and Compliance Function,
- The adequacy of the communication between the Board, Management and the Control Functions.

It is also responsible for the review and recommendation for Board approval of all Compliance policies of the Company.

Executive Committees

Senior Management

The Company has established a Senior Management Team (SMT) which is responsible for the implementation of the business plan and risk management strategy set by the Board. The SMT is comprised by 6 members in managerial positions and is chaired by the General Manager.

The General Manager has the overall responsibility and oversight for all the business operations of the Company and is supported in her role by the Managers of each of the Company's Divisions. Each Division has a clear mandate and responsibilities which are clearly communicated to members of staff and can be adjusted according to business developments and requirements.

The role of the SMT is to support the effective management of the Company and improve the level of awareness of its Management Team and staff. Its responsibilities include:

- Oversight of the operations of the Company and providing direction with regard to such operations,
- Defining the strategy and plans of the Company and implementing such through allocation of resources across business units and support functions of the Company,
- Overseeing the implementation of Company policies and procedures,
- Developing, reviewing and improving mechanisms and processes for an effective internal control system,
- Coordinating and managing the activities of the Company, apportioning duties to personnel and promoting accountability and reporting.

Asset Liability Management (ALM) Committee

The ALM Committee consists of 6 members which include the Actuarial Function Holder, the Risk Management Function Holder, the Company's Investment Manager, and Senior Management. It is chaired by the Company's General Manager.

The responsibilities of the ALM Committee include, among others:

- Overseeing the prudent management of EuroLife's own-asset portfolio and ensuring that assets and liabilities are in accordance with the ALM targets and tolerance levels set out in the Company's ALM policy,
- Achieving the best possible return within its risk appetite limits
- Recommending risk strategy/risk appetite for financial risks to the Risk Committee,
- Monitoring regulatory changes (capital, liquidity and other) and the consequences of those changes on the Company,
- Reviewing and adopting a capital management plan in accordance with the Company's Capital Management Policy, taking into account the size and nature of the risks undertaken, in order to ensure that the Company has adequate capital and liquidity.

Investment Committee

The Investment Committee consists of 4 members which include the Risk Management Function Holder, the Company's Investment Manager, and other members of Senior Management. It is chaired by the Company's General Manager. The purpose of the Committee is to oversee the management of EuroLife's unit linked funds and to ensure that they are prudently managed having regard to their published objectives and having in mind solely the interests of the policyholders, always adhering to the Prudent Person Principle.

The responsibilities of the Investment Committee include, among others:

- Setting, reviewing and monitoring compliance with investment policies, investment strategies and guidelines, investments processes and procedures,
- Setting and reviewing of asset allocation of EuroLife's unit linked funds based on market expectations,
- Ensuring proper risk management practices are applied and monitoring performance of external investment managers as against the Company Investment Policy.

Key Functions

In order to strengthen its internal control system, the Company has set up the following internal control functions, in accordance with Solvency II requirements:

- Risk Management Function
- Actuarial Function
- Compliance Function
- Internal Audit Function

Risk Management Function

The purpose of the Risk Management Function (RMF) is to facilitate the effective implementation of the risk management system of the Company and to design the risk management processes and reporting procedures required to identify, assess, monitor and report every type of risk inherent in the Company's operations. It is also responsible for monitoring the risk appetite and tolerance limits set by the Board and for preparing and submitting to the Board the "Own Risk and Solvency Assessment" (ORSA) Report.

In order to facilitate the most effective operation and the objectivity of the risk management system, the RMF is operationally independent from risk-taking functions (e.g. underwriting and claims) and, in addition to its other reporting lines, it reports directly to the Risk Committee in order to escalate issues and act independently from the Senior Management.

Actuarial Function

The Actuarial Function is responsible for the calculation of the technical provisions, including the assessment of the data quality and the comparison of best estimates against experience. It provides its opinion in relation to the overall underwriting policy and the reinsurance arrangements of the Company and contributes to the effective implementation of the risk management system in respect of the ORSA and Minimum Capital Requirement (MCR)/Solvency Capital Requirement (SCR) calculations. The Actuarial Function has direct access to the Board, in order to ensure its operational independence and safeguard its ability to escalate important issues.

Compliance Function

The Compliance Function is responsible for ensuring that all actions undertaken by the Company are at all times in compliance with the applicable laws and regulations. It is also responsible to identify, assess and manage the compliance risk that the Company might face and to assess the appropriateness of the procedures and policies in place. In addition, it may suggest organisational and procedural changes to ensure that identified reputational and compliance risks are appropriately managed. The Compliance Function is independent of operational activities. It has direct access to the Audit Committee and the Board, in order to escalate issues and act independently from Senior Management.

Internal Audit Function

The Internal Audit Function is independent from any operational functions and its role is to assess the adequacy and effectiveness of the internal control and risk management systems, as well as, the effectiveness of other elements of the system of governance. The Internal Audit Function undertakes planned reviews of the Company's processes and their implementation and reports its findings as well as material breaches to the Audit Committee.

B.1.2 Material changes in the system of governance during 2016

During the year 2016 the following changes in the Company's governance system took place:

- 2 Independent Non-Executive Directors were appointed to the Board and one Non-Executive Director resigned, bringing the number of Board members to a current total of 7.
- The Audit Committee and Risk Committee were activated.
- 2 further members were appointed to the Senior Management Team.

- A Service Level Agreement was entered into between the Company and the BoC Group for the outsourcing of the Company's Internal Audit Function.
- The Board appointed a Corporate Governance Compliance Officer for the purposes of assisting in the evaluation of the Board's operations and the system of governance.

B.1.3 Remuneration Policy and Practices

Principles of remuneration policy

The main principles of the applicable remuneration policy are as follows:

- The Company's remuneration policy aims to align the remuneration of directors, executive management and staff with the business strategy, objective and long-term interests of the Company,
- The remuneration promotes and is consistent with sound and effective risk management and does not encourage excessive risk taking that exceeds the level of tolerated risk of the Company,
- Fixed remuneration is the main form of remuneration of staff and Executive Management and comprises salary and any applicable allowances as determined by employment contracts and collective agreements the Company is subject to,
- No Director is involved in deciding his/her remuneration,
- The remuneration of non-executive directors is not linked to the profitability of the Company but is fixed and takes into account the responsibilities and time devoted by the Directors for the execution of their duties.

Variable Remuneration

Due to regulatory restrictions imposed by the Central Bank of Cyprus on the Bank of Cyprus Group, of which the Company is a member of, in the year 2016, no variable remuneration or discretionary pension payments were granted.

Supplementary pension or early retirement schemes

Board Members that are not Executive Management are not entitled to supplementary pension or early retirement schemes. The Company has a hybrid provident fund scheme for all its employees which is based on both defined benefit and defined contribution. All employees are obligated to contribute to the provident fund a set percentage of their monthly salary and the Company is also obligated to contribute a percentage based on the employee's salary which is set by the collective agreement the Company is subject to.

B.1.4 Material Transactions during 2016

The Company has a tied-agent agreement with Bank of Cyprus for promoting its products. A commission is payable for this service. In addition to the above the Company received rental and interest income under its normal course of business from Bank of Cyprus. A payment of dividend was also made during the year 2016 to Bank of Cyprus. No other material transactions were undertaken during the year 2016 as between the Company, its shareholders, or members of the administrative, management or supervisory body and other key function holders.

B.2 Fit and Proper Requirements

B.2.1 Requirements for skills, knowledge and expertise

The Company ensures that all persons who effectively run the Company or hold the Key Functions (the "Assessed Persons") at all times fulfill the following requirements:

- They have the requisite experience, specialization and knowledge for the discharge of their duties and responsibilities (the 'fitness test').
- They act in honesty, reliability and integrity, characteristics which promote the culture of compliance in the Company (the 'propriety test').

A person must satisfy the following criteria to be considered fit and proper to hold an Assessed Person position:

- Competence
- Character
- Diligence
- Honest
- Integrity
- Judgment to perform his/her duties
- Personal reliability
- Good reputation

B.2.2 Fit and proper assessment process

In line with the Company's Fit and Proper policy, assessments for an Assessed Person position are conducted as follows:

- Before a person is appointed; and
- After their appointment in the event that negative information relative to the assessment becomes known to the Company or where events make it necessary.

Approval by the regulator is required for the appointment of any Assessed Persons on the basis of fit and proper requirements. The Company's Secretary shall be responsible for ensuring that such approvals are obtained with regard to the members of the Board. For all other Assessed Persons, the Compliance Function shall be responsible for liaising with the regulator to obtain approvals.

If, following the re-assessment of an Assessed Person, it is concluded that such person no longer complies with Fit and Proper Requirements, the Compliance Function shall notify the regulator, explaining the reasoning for the removal of the person, or in the event that the person remains in that position, the reason such person has remained in the position and the action that is being taken to replace such person.

B.3 Risk Management System and ORSA process

B.3.1 Risk Management System

The purpose of the Company's risk management system is to provide a clearly defined and well documented risk management strategy that sets the Company's risk management objectives and overall risk appetite, taking into consideration the Company's strategic goals. In addition, it aims to set appropriate processes and procedures which enable the Company to identify, assess, manage, monitor and report the risks to which the Company is or might be exposed in the future.

For this purpose, various risk management policies, which facilitate the control and monitoring of the Company's risk exposures, in line with the Bank of Cyprus Group risk management framework and regulatory requirements, have been documented by the Risk Management Function and approved by the Board of Directors, which bears the ultimate responsibility for their application and embedment within the Company's system of governance.

The risk management system sets the principles for risk governance that ensures the establishment of clear responsibility boundaries and the proper segregation of duties in relation to risk management. It is based on the "three lines of defence" model, which ensures that the risk management is a core element of the overall responsibilities of the whole Company, including the Board, its Committees, the Senior Management and the Business Units, and it is integrated in the Company's decision making process.

In particular, the "first line of defence" with regards to risks is fundamentally the Business Units i.e. those units with responsibility to decide and execute decisions and to manage the risks arising on a day to day basis. The core responsibilities of the "first line of defence" are to execute the Company's

strategy, meet business performance targets, implement policies and proactively manage risks that arise from the Company's daily operations.

The "second line of defence" is essentially the Risk Management Function, the Compliance Function and the Actuarial Function and its role is to provide risk oversight and an independent and objective challenge to the "first line of defence".

The "third line of defence" is the Internal Audit Function. Its role is to act as an independent control body with the purpose of providing assurance on the effectiveness of the Company's risk management system.

The Company operates a distinct RMF which is operationally independent from the Company's risk-taking functions. The adequacy and effectiveness of the controls and risk mitigating strategies in place, as well as the design and effectiveness of the risk management system, are assessed by the Internal Audit Function which reports its findings to the Audit Committee/Board, making relevant recommendations for improvement.

Among the responsibilities of the Board, and following relevant recommendation by the Risk Committee, is the approval of the Company's Risk Appetite and Tolerance Statement (RAS) prepared by the RMF in consultation with Group Risk Management Division (GRMD), which forms part of the decision making process of the Company. Risk appetite is defined as the degree of risk that the Board is willing to accept in the pursuit of its strategy, taking into account its financial strength and the nature, scale and complexity of its business activities. The RAS is subject to review on an annual basis, taking into consideration the Company's strategic plan and the Bank of Cyprus Group RAS.

B.3.2 ORSA process

A key component of the risk management system is the "Own Risk and Solvency Assessment" (ORSA) process. The ORSA is a forward-looking process and it is proportionate in its sophistication to the nature, scale and complexity of the Company's business activities. It represents the Company's own view and understanding of its risks, overall solvency needs and adequacy of its own funds.

The ORSA process is designed and implemented in the following steps:

- Definition of the driving factors for the ORSA planning,
- Identification and classification of risks,
- Preparation of capital planning for the next 3 to 5 years,
- Stress testing and capital allocation,
- Documentation of the ORSA outcome,
- ORSA adoption in the decision-making process of the Company.

The ORSA process is ultimately owned and approved by the Company's Board of Directors. However, various bodies, functions and business units of the Company have different roles and responsibilities for the implementation of the ORSA process. These are mainly assigned to the RMF, Actuarial Function, Finance Department, Senior Management and the Risk Committee. The Internal Audit Function has the responsibility to conduct an independent review regarding the ORSA process and its outcome in order to ensure that it is appropriately designed and implemented.

The ORSA is performed on an annual basis, as a minimum, and the timing of its performance coincides with the Company's financial and strategic planning in order to allow integration with the decision making process. The outcome of the ORSA process is documented in the ORSA Report, which is prepared by the RMF and submitted to the Board, which must review and challenge its contents prior to granting its approval. Following the Board approval, the ORSA Report is submitted to the Regulator.

B.4 Internal Control System

B.4.1 Description of the Internal Control System

The Company's Internal Control System (ICS) is designed to provide reasonable assurance on the following:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations,
- Reliability of financial and non-financial information,
- An adequate control of risks,
- A prudent approach to business,
- Compliance with laws and regulations, and internal policies and procedures.

Effective internal controls help the Company protect and enhance shareholders' value and reduce the possibility of unexpected losses or damage to its reputation. The Board is ultimately responsible for overseeing and maintaining the adequacy and effectiveness of the internal control and risk management systems.

The Internal Control System of the Company is comprised of the following principles:

- Control Environment and Culture: The Board is responsible for promoting a high level of integrity and for establishing a culture within the Company that emphasises and demonstrates to all levels of personnel the importance of Internal Control. Senior Management is responsible for the implementation of the Internal Control culture and principles. All staff members need to understand their role in the ICS and be fully engaged in the process.
- Risk Assessment: The Company must regularly assess both the internal and external risks that it faces. Assessment should include the identification and analysis of all the significant risks that an insurance company is exposed to.
- Control Activities and Segregation of Duties: An adequate Internal Control System requires the implementation of effective and efficient control activities at all levels of the entity which should be implemented in line with the goals and strategies set out by the Board, and should involve all staff. As an integrated part of daily business, these activities should be reviewed and recorded on an on-going basis. An appropriate segregation of duties and responsibilities is also required, both at individual level and between Key Functions.
- Information and Communication: The Company should have reliable information at all levels within the organisation, in order to define, achieve and review the objectives set out by the Board. The effectiveness of communication procedures should be ensured, whether such communication is internal, external or includes formal or informal paths.
- Information and Communication Security: Security controls for the risks inherent in Information and Communication dissemination and technology must be established, allowing for the effective management of such risks.
- Monitoring: Appropriate monitoring systems must be applied on an ongoing basis, complemented with separate evaluations.

B.4.2 Implementation of the Compliance Function

The Compliance Function is an integral part of the ICS of the Company. The role of the Compliance Function throughout the Company is to pro-actively facilitate the management of compliance risk by identifying, assessing, monitoring and reporting the compliance risk exposure of the Company. This serves to assist the Company to carry on its business successfully and in conformity with regulatory and ethical standards.

In particular, the Compliance Function establishes, implements and maintains appropriate mechanisms and activities to:

- Promote and facilitate a corporate culture of integrity and ethical values within the Company,

- Monitor the adherence of the Company to all applicable compliance policies and procedures,
- Identify and assess on an on-going basis significant changes in the legal and regulatory environment in which the Company operates and identify the compliance risk that could arise from such changes,
- Formulate proposals for organisational and procedural changes to ensure that identified reputational and compliance risks are appropriately managed,
- Prepare and subsequently review and revise accordingly Company policies on key compliance related issues,
- Assess the appropriateness of the Company's compliance procedures and guidelines, follow up identified deficiencies promptly and put forth suggestions for improvements as necessary,
- Oversee the complaints process and utilising customer complaints as a source of relevant information in the context of its general monitoring responsibilities,
- Organise regular training and educational programs for Management and staff on compliance and regulatory matters.

The responsibilities of the Compliance Function are carried out under an annual compliance program/action plan that sets out its planned activities, such as the implementation and review of specific policies and procedures, compliance risk assessment, setting corrective actions to address any control weaknesses that are identified and educating staff on compliance matters.

In addition, the Compliance Function maintains a fully updated compliance chart/register of the existing regulatory framework (laws, regulations and self-regulatory standards) and identifies in cooperation with the relevant departments the compliance obligations emanating from each regulatory framework.

On a bi-annual basis, the Compliance Function provides a written report to the Senior Management and Audit Committee/Board detailing effectiveness of implementation of the compliance chart, the progress on the compliance action plan, the compliance risk assessment results during the reporting period, as well as, any identified breaches and/or deficiencies and the corrective measures recommended.

B.5 Internal Audit Function

B.5.1 Implementation of the Internal Audit Function

The Internal Audit Function is currently outsourced to the Bank of Cyprus Group Internal Audit Division. The Chairman of the Audit Committee is responsible for the oversight of this outsourced function. As an independent, objective assurance and consulting activity, the Internal Audit Function evaluates the adequacy and effectiveness of the internal control, risk management and governance systems and processes.

In particular, the Internal Audit Function bears the responsibility to:

- Establish, implement and maintain an audit plan to examine and evaluate the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's risk management, internal control and governance systems;
- Issue recommendations based on the results of the internal audit activity carried out, in accordance with the internal audit plan;
- Follow up the implementation of the recommendations made; and
- Provide timely, accurate and relevant reporting in relation to internal audit matters to the Board of Directors through the Audit Committee.

The Board has delegated to the Audit Committee the responsibility for the oversight and monitoring of the activities of the Internal Audit Function. As such, the Internal Audit Function reports directly to the Audit Committee and it also reports administratively to the General Manager.

In order to allow the effective and efficient fulfillment of its responsibilities, the Internal Audit Function has the necessary resources and authority and has duly and unlimited access to records, IT systems, properties and personnel of the Company.

B.5.2 Independence of the Internal Audit Function

The Internal Audit Function is independent from all other functions and the organisational activities audited and it carries out its assignments with impartiality. It maintains its independence and objectivity by applying the following principles:

- It does not perform any operational functions and is free from undue influence by any other function. This is achieved by being accountable and reporting directly to the Audit Committee;
- Its internal audit plan is reviewed and approved by the Audit Committee;
- When evaluating and reporting the audit results and recommendations, the Internal Audit Function is not subject to influence from senior management that can impair its operational independence and impartiality;
- If independence or objectivity of the Internal Audit Function is impaired in substance or appearance, the details of the impairment are disclosed to the Audit Committee.

B.6 Actuarial Function

The primary role of the Actuarial Function is to ensure that technical provisions are established with respect to all insurance obligations towards policyholders and beneficiaries of insurance contracts. In particular, the Actuarial Function ensures that the methodologies and assumptions used in the calculation of the technical provisions are appropriate for the specific lines of business of the Company and for the way the business is managed. It assesses the uncertainty associated with the estimates made in the calculation of technical provisions and it examines the sufficiency and quality of relevant data to be considered in the reserving process.

When comparing best estimates against experience, it reviews the quality of past best estimates and uses the insights gained from this assessment to improve the quality of current calculations. The comparison of best estimates against experience includes comparisons between observed values and the estimates underlying the calculation of the best estimate, in order to draw conclusions on the appropriateness, accuracy and completeness of the data and assumptions used as well as on the methodologies applied in their calculation.

It also contributes to the effective implementation of the risk management system and calculates the MCR/SCR based on regulatory requirements and cooperates closely with the Risk Management Function for the performance of the stress tests as part of the ORSA process.

In addition, the Actuarial Function advises the Senior Management and the Board of Directors on the overall underwriting policy and the reinsurance arrangements of the Company. Specifically, the Annual Actuarial Report submitted to Senior Management and the Board of Directors includes, among else, an opinion on the underwriting and adequacy of reinsurance arrangements and the activities carried out by the Actuarial Function together with relevant recommendations for improvement.

B.7 Outsourcing

The Company has established an Outsourcing Policy in order to ensure compliance with the relevant regulatory requirements and the effective control and management of the risks associated with the outsourced arrangements.

With regard to the outsourcing of any function or insurance activity the following shall apply as a minimum:

- A detailed examination/due diligence shall be undertaken prior to outsourcing the function or insurance activity for the purposes of :
 - allowing the Company to understand the main risks that might arise from the outsourcing,
 - identifying the most suitable strategies for the mitigation/management of such risks,

- ensuring that the Service Provider has the necessary financial resources, ability, capacity and any authorisation required by law to perform the outsourced activities reliably and professionally.
- The Company shall remain fully responsible for the discharging of all its obligations under a function or insurance activity that is outsourced.
- The Company ensures that there is effective supervision of the outsourced function or activity. In addition it ensures that the Company's regulator will have access to all relevant data held by the Service Provider to which the function or insurance activity is outsourced, regardless of whether such Service Provider is a regulated or unregulated entity.
- The Company ensures that there is a Non-disclosure Agreement in place with the Service Provider in the event that any confidential information is to be provided to the Service Provider.
- The Company safeguards that in any arrangement with a Service Provider it shall have the right to terminate the Outsourced arrangement.

In accordance with the Company's Policy, prior to the outsourcing of an activity or function, an assessment is carried out by Legal Department as to whether the outsourced activity or function has the potential to be considered a critical or important service or activity.

Currently, the following critical functions or activities are outsourced:

- The Internal Audit control function of the Company and
- The handling and investigation of healthcare claims

All above service providers are located in Cyprus.

B.8 Adequacy of the system of governance

The Board of Directors of the Company is committed to good governance which is vital to creating trust and engagement between the Company and its stakeholders and contributes towards its long-term success. A key objective of the governance framework of the Company is to ensure compliance with applicable legal and regulatory requirements, however proportionate to the size and complexity of the Company's operations it also applies best practices of corporate governance and corporate administration.

The Company aims to ensure on an ongoing basis that it is a modern, transparent and competitive organisation. By adopting best practices, the Company achieves a dynamic and effective communication with the Board, management and shareholder leading to a successful implementation of its strategy and a more than adequate framework of corporate governance.

C. Risk Profile

C. Risk Profile

Solvency II is a risk-based solvency requirement framework which requires the Company to hold capital against underwriting, market, credit and operational risks. The Company aims to maintain sufficient available capital to cover all risks faced by the Company and to satisfy regulatory requirements at all times.

The Solvency Capital Requirement is the economic capital that should be held to ensure that the Company can meet its obligations to policyholders and beneficiaries with certain probability and should be set to a confidence level of 99,5% over a 12-month period. That requirement limits the chance of financial loss for the following year to a 1 in 200 year event. There is also a Minimum Capital Requirement, which represents an 85% confidence level and should not be less than 25% of the SCR. The Company uses the standard formula to calculate the SCR, as provided by the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA).

For several sub-modules the calculation of the capital requirement is scenario-based.

The capital requirement is determined as the impact of a specific scenario on the level of Basic Own Funds. The level of Basic Own Funds is defined as the difference between assets and liabilities.

The Company's solvency position as at 31 December 2016 is equal to 239% which is well above the Company's risk appetite limit. Further relevant quantitative information in relation to the Company's capital requirements and solvency position can be found in Section E, "Capital Management" of this Report.

A key component of the risk management system and the ORSA process is the annual risk assessment exercise, through which the Company assesses its position regarding the different risks to which it is or might be exposed, with the use of a Risk Register. The assessment covers all risk types, including less-quantifiable risks and aims to determine the Company's risk profile taking into consideration its risk appetite. It is based on quantitative and qualitative criteria, prior experience and expert judgment.

C.1 Underwriting Risk

Underwriting risk is defined as the risk of loss or of adverse change in the value of insurance liabilities, due to inadequate pricing and provisioning assumptions. The Company is exposed to life and health underwriting risks, which are further broken down to sub-categories of risks, as described below.

Life Underwriting Risk

Out of the particular constituents of Life Underwriting Risk, those that affect the Company's business are the mortality risk, the morbidity/disability risk, the expense risk, the lapse risk and the catastrophe risk. Longevity risk does not affect the Company as it does not write business where survival is a particular risk (e.g. annuity business).

Mortality Risk

It is the risk of loss, or of adverse change in the value of insurance liabilities, resulting from changes in the level, trend, or volatility of mortality rates, where an increase in the mortality rate leads to an increase in the value of insurance liabilities.

As a life insurance company, EuroLife is exposed to the risk of mortality experience being higher than expected, which in turn leads to more claims from insurance policies that provide death cover. This has as a result the amount of claim payments to be higher than expected. Higher mortality experience than expected can arise from mis-judgment during assumption setting, inadequate assessment of the risks entailed during underwriting, concentration of risks, etc.

Morbidity Risk

Similarly, EuroLife is exposed to the risk of morbidity being higher than expected, which in turn leads to more claims from insurance policies that cover serious illnesses and from income protection plans. This has as a result the amount of claim payments to be higher than expected. Higher morbidity experience than expected can arise from mis-judgment during assumption setting, inadequate assessment of the risks entailed during underwriting, concentration of risks, etc.

Expense Risk

It arises from the variation in expenses incurred in servicing insurance contracts. EuroLife is exposed to the risk that its expenses are higher than expected. Expense risk can arise from higher than expected inflation, lower volume of business than expected, changes in the mix of business, etc.

Lapse Risk

It is the risk of loss or adverse change in liabilities due to a change in the expected exercise rates of policyholder options. The relevant options are all legal or contractual policy holder rights to fully or partially terminate, surrender, decrease, restrict or suspend insurance cover or permit the policy to lapse.

EuroLife is exposed to the risk of lapse rates being higher or lower than expected. The risk of higher than expected lapses can incur at the early stage of a policy life-cycle, when a policy may lapse before expenses are recovered. The risk of lower than expected lapses can incur at the late stage of policies life-cycle, when more policies are in-force creating higher claim costs than expected.

EuroLife is also exposed to mass lapses driven by market conditions and any other one-off shock lapse event.

Catastrophe Risk

This risk stems from extreme or irregular events whose effects are not sufficiently captured in the other life underwriting risk sub-modules. EuroLife is exposed to life-catastrophe risk due to the potential increase in mortality caused by a catastrophic, extreme death event (e.g. pandemic event, plane crash, nuclear explosion, etc.)

Health Underwriting Risk

Health underwriting risk module captures the risk of health insurance obligations and it covers the following sub-risks:

- The SLT Health underwriting risk sub module
- The Non-SLT Health underwriting risk sub module and
- The Health catastrophe risk.

The Company classifies its health related business (stand-alone and supplementary benefits) into the two categories, SLT Health and Non-SLT Health and determines the capital requirement under each of the relevant risk sub-categories.

The exposure to SLT Health underwriting risk exists due to EuroLife's health insurance obligations pursued on a similar technical basis to that of life insurance, segmented and valued according to the segmentation for life insurance obligations (e.g. accidental death, disability benefits, income protection benefits).

The exposure to Non-SLT Health underwriting risk exists due to EuroLife's health insurance obligations not pursued on a similar technical basis to that of life insurance (e.g. medical expenses benefits).

EuroLife is exposed to health-catastrophe risk due to the potential mass accident and pandemic events that may occur and could affect its clients.

Use of Reinsurance as a Risk Mitigation Technique

The Company's reinsurance arrangements serve to limit its overall underwriting risk exposure as well as to reduce the volatility of its claims and enhance underwriting performance.

The reinsurance arrangements currently in place cover all types of underwriting risks (mortality, morbidity/disability, medical expenses) and potential events relating to significant known aggregations of risk such as realistic disaster scenarios (catastrophe reinsurance arrangement).

Underwriting Risk Management Policy

The Company has established an Underwriting Risk Management Policy that sets out the policies and procedures for the management of underwriting risk. In addition a Reserving Risk Management Policy and a Reinsurance Policy are in place.

The Company has in place the following controls for monitoring underwriting risk:

- Use of reinsurance to reduce exposure to mortality, morbidity and medical expense risks,
- Underwriting Department ensures that only insurable risks are accepted and that premiums reflect the unique circumstances of each risk,
- Lapse monitoring is conducted regularly,
- Experience investigations covering mortality, morbidity and expenses are conducted at least annually,
- Product design and pricing aims to minimise adverse client selection and the Company has retained the option to review premium rates at regular intervals throughout the life-cycle of certain types of policies.

Underwriting Risk Assessment and ORSA outcome

Underwriting risk was not considered as a material risk during the risk assessment exercise performed in 2016. Nevertheless, the Company performed an underwriting risk stress testing during the ORSA process. Specifically, under the stress test scenario it was assumed that the mortality experience will worsen. The results indicated that the solvency ratio decreased with the application of this stress test; however, it remained within the Company's risk appetite.

C.2 Market Risk

Market risk is defined as the risk of loss or of adverse change in the financial situation resulting, directly or indirectly, from fluctuations in the level and in the volatility of market prices of assets, liabilities and financial instruments. It is broken down to interest rate, equity, property, currency, spread and concentration risk.

The Company writes predominantly unit-linked business where the market risk is borne by the policyholder. The greater impact of market risk to the Company arises from its own assets.

Interest Rate Risk

This risk exists for all assets and liabilities which are sensitive to changes in the term structure of interest rates or interest rate volatility, whether valued by mark-to-model or mark-to-market techniques. EuroLife's exposure to interest rate risk arises due to its own investments in interest-sensitive assets such as sovereign bonds, corporate bonds, fixed deposits, notice accounts as well as from mortgage loans and policy loans. It also arises from an increase in the technical provisions when interest rates decrease and there is no perfect asset-liability matching.

Equity Risk

This risk arises from the level or volatility of market prices for equities. Exposure to equity risk refers to all assets and liabilities whose value is sensitive to changes in equity prices.

It is EuroLife's policy not to hold any equities or equity type investments in the own-asset portfolio.

Property Risk

It arises as a result of sensitivity of assets, liabilities and financial investments to the level or volatility of market prices of property. Types of asset falling under this category are land, buildings, immovable property rights as well as the Company's head office building.

It is EuroLife's policy not to hold any own investments in property other than its head office building.

Currency Risk

This risk arises from changes in the level of volatility of currency exchange rates. All investments with exposure to non-euro currencies are stressed under this risk. These include all non-euro denominated investments or Funds or Funds of Funds whose underlying stocks or bonds are non-euro denominated even if the actual Fund is denominated in euro.

EuroLife's exposure to currency risk is limited as the majority of its assets and liabilities are denominated in euro.

Spread Risk

This risk results from the sensitivity of the value of assets, liabilities and financial instruments to changes in the level or in the volatility of credit spreads over the risk-free interest rate term structure.

The Company applies this risk to all assets stressed under the interest rate risk. In addition, it applies the spread risk shock to its fixed deposits.

Concentration Risk

It is the risk of accumulating investment exposures with the same counterparty. It applies to assets considered under equity, property and spread risk modules, but excludes assets covered under the counterparty default risk. It also excludes assets backing unit liabilities of unit-linked policies where the investment risk is borne by the policyholder.

Market Risk Management Policy and Prudent Person Principle

The policies and procedures for the management of market risk are documented in the Company's Market/Investment Risk Management Policy and in the ALM Policy. Their main objective is to ensure that all investment activities are consistent with the Company's RAS and with the Prudent Person Principle.

In order for the Company to safeguard that investment decisions are taken based on the provisions of the Prudent Person Principle it has established two Executive Committees, the Investment Committee and the ALM Committee, which are responsible for the management of unit-linked assets and own assets, respectively. Committee meetings are held on a quarterly basis, as a minimum, decisions are taken by the majority of votes and the relevant meeting Minutes are submitted to the Risk Committee/Board for noting.

In particular, relevant limits have been set within the Company's RAS which are monitored by the RMF and reported to the abovementioned Executive Committees as well as to the Senior Management and Risk Committee. These include, among else, restrictions on own-assets in relation to duration, asset classes, counterparty, country, concentration and currency risk exposures.

As regards unit-linked assets (i.e. the assets held in respect of life insurance contracts where the investment risk is borne by the policyholders) the investment objective varies according to the investment strategy of each of the Company's Internal Funds but it is broadly to achieve the maximum risk-adjusted return for the benefit of the policyholders. Relevant limits have been set according to the mandate of each of the Company's Internal Funds which set specific asset allocation constraints and are continuously monitored. Also, the Company acts in compliance with the Orders issued by the Regulator restricting the types of assets to which policy benefits may be linked.

In addition, in order to limit any investment losses, it is the Company's policy to avoid direct investments in stocks that do not trade in regulated markets, in hybrid assets, derivatives (for purposes other than hedging) and avoid excess exposure to currency risk. The Company aims to maintain at all times a highly diversified portfolio of liquid assets. Also, it is the Company's policy to only invest in regulated collective investment Funds. A preference is made for Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities (UCITS) compliant Funds which minimises counterparty, market and liquidity risk.

The Company collaborates with multiple reputable external Fund Managers with different investment philosophies to allow diversification of fund management. The Company follows a selection process, with specific evaluation and selection criteria, when choosing Fund Managers. The performance and investment process of the chosen Fund Manager is closely and regularly monitored and the Company ensures that it is able to properly assess and report the assets under management and perform the required solvency capital calculations.

Market Risk Assessment and ORSA outcome

During 2016, market risk was considered as a material risk for the Company due to the large exposure to market concentration risk that derived from its exposure to its banking counterparties. As part of the ORSA process carried out in 2016, a stress test regarding counterparty default risk was performed, as presented in Chapter C.3 below, which indicated that the Company's solvency position remained within the risk appetite limit. During the approval of the ORSA Report 2016 by the Board, it was decided that the Company should reduce its material exposures to its banking counterparties so as to be within its risk appetite; something which was achieved by the end of the year.

C.3 Credit Risk

Credit risk or counterparty default risk is defined as the risk of loss due to unexpected default, or deterioration in the credit standing of the Company's counterparties and debtors.

EuroLife is exposed to counterparty default risk arising mainly from its collaboration with banking and reinsurance counterparties.

Credit Risk Management Policy

The Company has established a Credit Risk Management Policy that sets out the policies and procedures for the management of credit risk. In addition, a Concentration Risk Management Policy is in place.

In particular, the following controls are in place for managing credit risk:

- Counterparty limits are set as part of the Company's risk appetite which is frequently monitored by RMF.
- Credit rating restrictions apply to ensure high credit quality of both unit-linked assets and own assets.
- Frequent counterparty assessments are performed which take into account the counterparty's creditworthiness, financial strength and overall performance and reputation, by using independent and reliable sources.
- Past due items are monitored frequently, at individual and aggregate level, and collections are tracked against previous month.

Credit Risk Assessment and ORSA outcome

During the risk assessment exercise performed in 2016, it was concluded that the Company had a material credit risk exposure which derived from the exposure to its banking counterparties. As part of the ORSA process, a number of stress tests were performed with the assumption that counterparty default risk would materialise. The results of the stress tests showed a decrease in the Company's own funds and/or its solvency ratio. However, it was evident that the Company would be able to cope with such events and its risk appetite in relation to its solvency position would remain at desirable levels.

Nevertheless, as per the relevant Board decision taken, the Company's material exposures to its banking counterparties were significantly reduced by the end of the year.

C.4 Liquidity Risk

Liquidity Risk is defined as the risk that the Company is unable to realise investments and other assets in order to settle its financial obligations when they fall due.

Liquidity risk may arise from significant asset-liability mismatches in relation to duration. These may occur due to unexpected outflows and/or inability to liquidate assets or to receive scheduled payments. In this context, liquidity risk arises if there are circumstances where the Company has insufficient liquid or readily realizable assets to meet its commitments.

In addition, the Company is exposed to liquidity risk under a mass surrender scenario. A less likely event giving rise to high one-off payment is the occurrence of a death, accident or disability claim where a very high sum assured is involved or the occurrence of a catastrophic event giving rise to multiple simultaneous claims. However, the latter events will not require the immediate payment of sums as a mass surrender event would.

Other liquidity needs include the payment of commissions to intermediaries and fulfilling regular obligations such as staff salary payments or supplier invoice payments.

Liquidity Risk Management Policy

The Company's Liquidity Risk Management Policy stipulates the policies and procedures for the management of liquidity risk.

The Company has established the following controls for the management of liquidity risk:

- The Company has a very limited appetite for liquidity risk and aims to maintain sufficient assets in liquid form.
- Various daily, weekly and monthly liquidity ratios have been set as part of the Company's risk appetite.
- RMF monitors the level of compliance with liquidity limits on a quarterly basis and reports its findings to the Senior Management and Risk Committee.

It is the Company's policy to hold sufficient liquid assets to meet its financial obligations. The amount of expected profit from future premiums as at 31 December 2016 is equal to €58,7m, however, it is not considered as a liquid asset and it is therefore not taken into account during the calculation of the Company's liquidity ratio.

Liquidity Risk Assessment and ORSA outcome

As per the risk assessment performed in 2016, the exposure to liquidity risk was not considered material and so no further assessment was deemed necessary to be performed during the ORSA process.

C.5 Operational Risk

Operational risk is defined as the risk of loss arising from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems, or from external events.

The Company has identified, inter alia, the following causes of operational risk:

- Processes: Causes relating to poorly designed processes, deviations from policy, etc.
- People: Causes relating to human errors, fraudulent activity, key person risk, etc.
- Systems: Causes relating to inadequate or obsolete systems, poor system maintenance, etc.
- External Events: Causes mainly having to do with natural disasters or other socio-economic and/or political factors outside the Company's control.

Legal risk exposure is also considered during the operational risk assessment.

Operational Risk Management Policy

The Company has established an Operational Risk Management Policy that sets out the policies and procedures for the management of operational risk.

Operational risk management is the responsibility of all staff across all levels of the Company, as its exposure to operational risk is inherent in all of the Company's activities and operations. Through the risk and control self-assessment (RCSA) carried out by the Business Units with the assistance of the RMF and Operational Risk Officers from GRMD, operational risk exposures are identified and assessed and relevant action plans are drawn up to mitigate identified risks. Quarterly follow-up is performed by the RMF for monitoring the progress of agreed mitigating actions.

In addition, the Company has established a process for collecting, evaluating, monitoring and reporting operational risk loss data. The collection of internal loss data is supported by all Business Units of the Company, which have the responsibility to report the operational risk events to the RMF, which in turn ensures that they are properly recorded in the operational loss event database.

Furthermore, the Company's RAS includes a combination of qualitative and quantitative limits and thresholds for all major types of operational risk events.

Operational Risk Assessment and ORSA outcome

During the risk assessment exercise operational risk was assessed as a material risk for the Company. In particular, the Company had some manual procedures and some deficiencies in relation to its information security mechanisms. Relevant mitigating actions were approved by the Board during the review and approval of the ORSA Report for 2016.

C.6 Other Material Risks

In accordance with the results of the risk assessment exercise carried out during 2016, no other material exposures were identified apart from those mentioned above in relation to market risk, credit risk and operational risk.

C.7 Other information

The Company aims to maintain its risk profile within its risk appetite and in accordance with regulatory requirements. The set risk appetite and tolerance limits are monitored by the RMF with the use of the Risk Appetite Dashboard, which is reported on a quarterly basis through the Risk Management Report to the Senior Management, Risk Committee and to GRMD, indicating any possible violations and remedial actions taken or planned to be taken. The Risk Committee has the right to escalate to the Board any issues it deems necessary.

D. Valuation for Solvency purposes

D. Valuation for Solvency purposes

As this is the first SFCR, we have not included a comparison with prior year.

D.1 Assets

The primary objective of asset valuation for Solvency purposes is set out in Article 75 of the Solvency II Directive which requires an economic, market-consistent approach to the valuation of assets. According to this approach assets should be valued at the amount for which they could be exchanged between knowledgeable willing parties in an arm's length transaction. The default reference framework for valuing assets is the IFRS as adopted by the European Union. The Company's financial statements are prepared in accordance with IFRSs and contain a summary of the significant accounting policies adopted in their preparation.

The following table summarises for each material class of assets, the value of the asset and a description for the bases, methods and main assumptions used for valuation for solvency purposes.

Asset class	Value	Solvency II valuation basis
31.12.2016	€'000	
Pension benefit surplus	668	Value is based on an external actuarial valuation
Property, plant & equipment held for own use	10.141	Property: valued based on 2015 external valuation report less accumulated depreciation. Properties are valued at least every 3 years. Plant & Equipment: valued at cost less accumulated depreciation less any impairment
<i>Investments (other than assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts)</i>		
Property (other than for own use)	13.415	Valued based on 2016 external valuation report obtained by independent property valuers.
Bonds	14.920	Valued at fair value based on market prices as at the reporting date. The following hierarchy of high level principles for the valuation of assets is used: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quoted market prices in active markets. • Mark-to-model techniques that are benchmarked, extrapolated or otherwise calculated as far as possible from a market input.
Collective Investments Undertakings	64.179	Valued at fair value based on market prices as at the reporting date.
Deposits other than cash equivalents	38.840	Valued at fair value by the relevant financial institution
Assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts	396.492	Includes bonds, equities, collective investments undertakings, properties and deposits other than cash equivalents held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts. Valued at fair value based on market prices as at the reporting date. The following hierarchy of high level principles for the valuation of assets is used: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quoted market prices in active markets. • Mark-to-model techniques that are

		<p>benchmarked, extrapolated or otherwise calculated as far as possible from a market input.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maximum use of relevant observable inputs and market inputs and as little reliance as possible on undertaking-specific inputs, minimising the use of unobservable inputs.
Loans and mortgages	677	Valued on the best estimate of the recoverable value.
Reinsurance recoverables	25.824	Valued on a Cash flow basis.
Insurance and intermediaries receivables	2.082	Includes premiums due and amounts due from agents. Valued at fair value.
Reinsurance receivables	9.237	Includes the current account with the reinsurer and the reinsurance share on incurred outstanding claims. Valued at fair value.
Receivables (trade, not insurance)	1.055	Valued at fair value.
Cash and cash equivalents	13.281	Valued at fair value by the relevant financial institution.
Any other assets	5.211	Includes tax receivable and the assets held regarding the financial obligation of the Company to the Group Pension Plan for Bank of Cyprus Employees in Greece. Valued at fair value.
Total assets	596.022	

The differences in valuation between IFRS valuation assets and Solvency II are summarised below:

	IFRS Financial Statements	Solvency II Framework
Intangible Assets (Computer Software)	Measured in accordance with International Accounting Standards (IAS) 38 at cost less accumulated amortization and impaired losses	Measured at zero as they cannot be sold separately and there is no value for the same or similar assets from quoted market prices in active markets
Reinsurance Recoverable	Measured in accordance with IFRS 4	Reinsurance share Technical Provisions measured in accordance with Solvency II principles

D.2 Technical Provisions

Technical Provisions by material line of business:

Technical provisions	Gross Best Estimates (BE)	Risk Margin (RM)	Net TPs (BE+RM-RI)
31.12.2016	€'000	€'000	€'000
Health Non SLT	-636	247	-70
Health SLT	15.694	5.988	7.316
Life with profit sharing	8.180	1.490	9.669
Life without profit sharing	8.430	6.335	11.191
Unit Linked	359.000	11.302	362.100
Total	390.668	25.362	390.206

In calculating the actuarial and other policyholder liabilities, various assumptions have been made regarding the future experience of the Company's portfolio of insured risks.

In practice best estimate assumptions represent the most likely outcome as determined by the actuary based on Company and industry experience, and other external factors (where appropriate), removing all possible a priori bias on an estimation of the future. Future management actions are also taken into consideration when setting the assumptions (e.g. known future costs).

The main assumptions in assessing the best estimate reserves are as follows:

Interest rates

The risk-free interest rate term structure used for discounting the projected cash flows in the technical calculation is the euro relevant risk-free structure as specified by the Solvency II regulations. The Company used the rates as provided by the EIOPA. The Company did not use the matching adjustment nor the volatility adjustment at 31 December 2016.

Expenses

The expenses incurred in servicing all recognised insurance obligations consist of:

- Administration expenses
- Investment management expenses
- Claims management expenses / handling expenses
- Acquisition expenses
- Overhead expenses

The Company performs a regular expense analysis, on relevant and available internal past data, in order to allocate the expenses between initial and renewal and by type of expense. The best estimate expense assumptions are based on the results of this regular analysis together with budgeted expenses and are subject to expert judgment.

Inflation

The inflation assumption is very important as it directly impacts the renewal expenses of the Company (an important source of outflow) as well as the administration fee (which is an important source of income for the Company). It is a long-term assumption (short-term fluctuations are ignored) and is based on the both the price inflation (market specific) as well as Company specific expenses. Together with the expense assumption it determines the future expense outflow of the Company.

Lapse assumptions

Lapse assumptions are set with reference to the Company's past experience of policyholder behavior and the prospective assessment of expected policyholder behavior.

Policyholders' option to lapse and also in certain cases to surrender are mainly dependent on the change of policyholders' status such as the ability to further pay the premium, employment status, family status etc.

Lapse assumptions vary by type of business and benefit cover.

Mortality

The mortality assumption should be chosen having regard to relevant available data of the Company. However due to the fact that the Company's mortality experience is too limited to be reliable as a basis, published mortality rates are used upon which a percentage is applied. This percentage has been determined based on relevant and available internal past data and is subject to expert opinion.

Level of uncertainty associated with the value of technical provisions

Uncertainty relates primarily to how future actual experience will differ from the best estimate assumptions used to calculate the technical provisions. The key assumptions are interest rates, lapse rates, and morbidity rates. A robust assumption setting process is followed in order to ensure the uncertainty is well understood.

Solvency II and IFRS valuation differences

Whilst some of the approaches and techniques applied under Solvency II are similar to those followed under the IFRS basis, there are other areas where there are major differences (in terms of methodologies and assumptions).

Some of the more important and challenging requirements are listed in the section below and are not listed in order of importance:

- Movement to a cashflow basis for valuation of both gross business and reinsurance.
- Removal of any implicit or explicit margins within best estimates to give a “true best estimate” for solvency purposes.
- Introduction of the principle of a market consistent basis and calculation of a Risk Margin.
- Allowance for negative reserves.
- Introduction of the contract boundary definition (this has an impact on the Company’s life plans which consist of a contract boundary).
- Discounting using an EIOPA provided term structure as opposed to discounting using the rate implied by the assets which back the liabilities.

The table below compares the IFRS valuation of insurance contract liabilities to the Solvency II technical provisions, split by line of business, at 31 December 2016.

Technical provisions (Net of Reinsurance)	Solvency II (BE +RM)	IFRS valuation	Difference
31.12.2016	€'000	€'000	€'000
Health Non SLT	-70	1.154	-1.225
Health SLT	7.316	6.906	410
Life with profit sharing	9.669	8.110	1.559
Life without profit sharing	11.191	20.184	-8.992
Unit Linked	362.100	459.360	-97.260
Total	390.206	495.714	-105.508

D.3 Liabilities (other than technical provisions)

The primary objective of liabilities (other than technical provisions) valuation for Solvency purposes is set out in Article 75 of the Solvency II Directive which requires an economic, market-consistent approach to the valuation of liabilities (other than technical provisions). According to this approach liabilities (other than technical provisions) should be valued at the amount for which they could be transferred, or settled, between knowledgeable willing parties in an arm's length transaction. The default reference framework for valuing liabilities (other than technical provisions) are the International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the European Union. The Company’s financial statements are prepared in accordance with IFRSs and contain a summary of the significant accounting policies adopted in their preparation.

The following table summarises for each material class of liabilities (other than technical provisions), the value of the liability (other than technical provisions) and a description for the bases, methods and main assumptions used for valuation for solvency purposes.

Liabilities	Value	Solvency II valuation basis
31.12.2016	(€'000)	
Deferred tax liabilities	16.018	Measured at the amount that is expected to be paid to the tax authorities after taking into account the tax rates and legislation that have been enacted or substantially been enacted by the reporting date.
Insurance & intermediaries payables	2.553	Includes amounts due to policyholders and amounts due to agents. Valued at fair value.
Reinsurance payables	15.014	Includes the current account with the reinsurer and the incurred outstanding claims. Valued at fair value.
Payables (trade, not insurance)	2.539	Valued at fair value.
Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	5.777	Includes the financial obligation of the Company to the Group Pension Plan for Bank of Cyprus Employees in Greece. Valued at fair value.
Total liabilities	41.901	

The Solvency II balance sheet includes a deferred tax liability arising from the change in technical provisions under Solvency II compared to those under IFRS.

D.4 Other

There are no other material matters in respect to the valuation of assets and liabilities for solvency purposes.

E. Capital Management

E. Capital Management

As this is the first SFCR, we have not included a comparison with prior year.

E.1 Own Funds

The objective of own funds management is to maintain, at all times, sufficient own funds to cover the SCR and MCR with an appropriate buffer. These should be of sufficient quality to meet the eligibility requirements of Article 82 of the Delegated Regulation. The Company holds regular meetings of the Solvency II Steering Committee, which are at least quarterly, during which the ratio of eligible own funds over SCR and MCR are reviewed. The ultimate responsibility rests with the Company's Board of Directors. As part of own funds management, the Company undertakes an ORSA exercise at least annually. The ORSA exercise incorporates the business planning process which is typically considered over a three-year time horizon.

An analysis of own funds by tier is shown below:

Date	Description	Tier 1 €'000
1 January 2016	Opening balance comprising: Ordinary share capital	15.647
	Movement in the reconciliation reserve for the year ended 31 December 2016	122.444
31 December 2016	Closing balance	138.091
	Represented by:	
	Ordinary share capital	15.647
	Reconciliation reserve	122.444
	Total Basic Own Funds	138.091

The Company's ordinary share capital and reconciliation reserve are all available as tier 1 unrestricted own funds as per Article 69 (a)(i) of the Delegated Regulation. The reconciliation reserve equals the excess of assets over liabilities less other basic own funds as at the reporting date. There are no foreseeable dividends or own shares held.

The Company has no tier 1 restricted own funds (as per Article 80 of the Delegated Regulation), no tier 2 own funds (as per Article 72 of the Delegated Regulation) and no tier 3 own funds (as per Article 76 of the Delegated Regulation). The Company's own funds are all available to cover the SCR and MCR.

The table below shows the difference between own funds as shown in the financial statements and the Solvency II own funds:

	31.12.2016 €'000
Total own funds per Financial Statements	55.118
Intangible assets	(9.033)
Change in valuation of Technical Provisions (net)	105.508
Change in Surplus	(358)
Deferred Tax Liability	(13.144)
Total Tier 1 Capital	138.091
Total basic own funds	138.091

E.2 Solvency Capital Requirement and Minimum Capital Requirement

The Company's Solvency Capital Requirement and Minimum Capital Requirement, based on the Solvency II standard formula methodology, for the financial year end 2016 are as follows:

	31.12.2016
	€'000
Total available own funds to meet the SCR	138.091
Solvency Capital Requirement (SCR)	57.862
Solvency Ratio	239%
Total available own funds to meet the MCR	138.091
Minimum Capital Requirement (MCR)	14.466
Ratio of Eligible own funds to MCR	955%

The final amount of the SCR and MCR remains subject to supervisory assessment.

A further analysis of the Company's SCR for the year 2016 to its different constituents is shown below:

Solvency Capital Requirement (SCR)	31.12.2016
	€'000
Market Risk	39.407
Counterparty Risk	4.352
Life Underwriting Risk	33.406
Health Risk	9.829
<i>Diversification Basic Solvency Capital Requirement (BSCR)</i>	(23.905)
BSCR	63.089
Operational Risk	3.039
Loss Absorbing Capacity for Deferred Tax	(8.266)
SCR Total	57.862

It should be noted that simplified calculations are not used for any of the risk modules or sub modules.

The table below shows the inputs into the MCR calculation as at 31 December 2016. Note the Absolute Floor of the Minimum Capital Requirement (AMCR) is prescribed by EIOPA and stated in Euros below:

Minimum Capital Requirement (MCR)	31.12.2016
	€'000
AMCR	6.200
Linear MCR	9.887
SCR	57.862
Combined MCR	14.466
MCR	14.466

This is the first period in which the SCR and MCR have been reported and therefore no changes have been disclosed.

E.3 Non-Compliance with the MCR and Non-Compliance with the SCR

The Company has maintained capital sufficient to meet its MCR and SCR throughout the period covered by this report.

E.4 Other

There are no other material matters in respect to the capital management of the Company.

F. Glossary

F. Glossary

ALM	Asset Liability Management
BoC	Bank of Cyprus
BoD/Board	Board of Directors
EIOPA	European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority
GRMD	Group Risk Management Division
IAS	International Accounting Standards
ICCS	Insurance Companies Control Service
ICS	Internal Control System
IFRS	International Financial Reporting Standards
MCR	Minimum Capital Requirement
Non-SLT Health	Similar to Non-Life Techniques
ORSA	Own Risk and Solvency Assessment
QRTs	Quantitative Reporting Templates
RAS	Risk Appetite and Tolerance Statement
RCSA	Risk and control self-assessment
RMF	Risk Management Function
SCR	Solvency Capital Requirement
SFCR	Solvency and Financial Condition Report
SLT Health obligations	Similar to Life Techniques
SMT	Senior Management Team
UCITS	Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities

G. Templates

G. Templates

Section G
S.02.01.02
Balance sheet

	Solvency II value	
	C0010	
Assets	R0030	
Intangible assets	R0040	
Deferred tax assets	R0050	668.068
Pension benefit surplus	R0060	10.140.513
Property, plant & equipment held for own use	R0070	131.354.229
Investments (other than assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts)	R0080	13.415.000
Property (other than for own use)	R0090	
Holdings in related undertakings, including participations	R0100	
Equities	R0110	
Equities - listed	R0120	
Equities - unlisted	R0130	14.920.355
Bonds	R0140	6.898.200
Government Bonds	R0150	8.022.155
Corporate Bonds	R0160	
Structured notes	R0170	
Collateralised securities	R0180	64.179.166
Collective Investments Undertakings	R0190	
Derivatives	R0200	38.839.708
Deposits other than cash equivalents	R0210	
Other investments	R0220	396.491.874
Assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts	R0230	677.037
Loans and mortgages	R0240	527.648
Loans on policies	R0250	149.390
Loans and mortgages to individuals	R0260	
Other loans and mortgages	R0270	25.824.237
Reinsurance recoverables from:	R0280	-317.817
Non-life and health similar to non-life	R0290	
Non-life excluding health	R0300	-317.817
Health similar to non-life	R0310	17.939.463
Life and health similar to life, excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked	R0320	14.365.917
Health similar to life	R0330	3.573.546
Life excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked	R0340	8.202.590
Life index-linked and unit-linked	R0350	
Deposits to cedants	R0360	2.081.791
Insurance and intermediaries receivables	R0370	9.237.512
Reinsurance receivables	R0380	1.055.240
Receivables (trade, not insurance)	R0390	
Own shares (held directly)	R0400	
Amounts due in respect of own fund items or initial fund called up but not yet paid in	R0410	13.281.263
Cash and cash equivalents	R0420	5.210.612
Any other assets, not elsewhere shown	R0500	596.022.377
Total assets		
	Solvency II value	
	C0010	
Liabilities	R0510	-388.413
Technical provisions – non-life	R0520	
Technical provisions – non-life (excluding health)	R0530	
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0540	
Best Estimate	R0550	
Risk margin	R0560	-388.413
Technical provisions - health (similar to non-life)	R0570	
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0580	-635.634
Best Estimate	R0590	247.221
Risk margin	R0600	46.116.714
Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)	R0610	21.682.187
Technical provisions - health (similar to life)	R0620	
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0630	15.694.184
Best Estimate	R0640	5.988.003
Risk margin	R0650	24.434.527
Technical provisions – life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)	R0660	
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0670	16.609.511
Best Estimate	R0680	7.825.016
Risk margin	R0690	370.302.243
Technical provisions – index-linked and unit-linked	R0700	
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0710	359.000.270
Best Estimate	R0720	11.301.974
Risk margin	R0740	
Contingent liabilities	R0750	
Provisions other than technical provisions	R0760	
Pension benefit obligations	R0770	
Deposits from reinsurers	R0780	16.017.507
Deferred tax liabilities	R0790	
Derivatives	R0800	
Debts owed to credit institutions	R0810	
Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions	R0820	2.553.329
Insurance & intermediaries payables	R0830	15.013.819
Reinsurance payables	R0840	2.539.112
Payables (trade, not insurance)	R0850	
Subordinated liabilities	R0860	
Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own Funds	R0870	
Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own Funds	R0880	5.777.012
Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	R0900	457.931.324
Total liabilities	R1000	138.091.053
Excess of assets over liabilities		

Section G
S.12.01.02
Life and Health SLT Technical Provisions

	Index-linked and unit-linked insurance			Other life insurance			Annuities stemming from non-life insurance contracts and relating to insurance obligation	Accepted reinsurance	Total (Life other than health insurance, incl. Unit-Linked)	Health insurance (direct business)			Annuities stemming from non-life insurance contracts and relating to	Health reinsurance (reinsurance accepted)	Total (Health similar to life insurance)	
	Insurance with profit participation	Contracts without options and guarantees	Contracts with options or guarantees	Contracts without options and guarantees	Contracts with options or guarantees	Contracts without options and guarantees				Contracts with options or guarantees	Contracts without options and guarantees	Contracts with options or guarantees				
	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070	C0080	C0090	C0100	C0150	C0160	C0170	C0180	C0190	C0200	C0210
Technical provisions calculated as a whole																
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default associated to TP calculated as a whole																
Technical provisions calculated as a sum of BE and RM Best Estimate																
Gross Best Estimate																
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default																
Best estimate minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re - total																
Risk Margin																
Amount of the transitional on Technical Provisions																
Technical Provisions calculated as a whole																
Best estimate																
Risk margin																
Technical provisions - total																
	R0010															
	R0020															
	R0030	8.179.908														
	R0080			8.202.590		3.573.546				11.776.136						14.365.917
	R0090	8.179.908		350.797.679		4.856.057				355.653.736						1.328.266
	R0100	1.489.714	11.301.974								5.988.003					
	R0110															
	R0120															
	R0130															
	R0200	9.669.622	370.302.243							394.736.771	21.682.187					21.682.187

Section G
S.19.01.21
Non-life insurance claims

Total Non-Life Business

Accident year / Underwriting year

Z0010	Accident year
-------	---------------

Gross Claims Paid (non-cumulative)
(absolute amount)

Development year

Year	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10&+	In Current year	Sum of years (cumulative)	
Prior	R0100	R0100	R0100	R0100	R0100	R0100	R0100	R0100	R0100	R0100	R0100	R0100	R0100	R0100
N-9	R0160									244.062	1.387.573	R0160	1.614.570	
N-8	R0170							718.392	3.235.776			R0170	3.479.838	
N-7	R0180						1.519.327	3.756.136				R0180	4.474.528	
N-6	R0190					1.307.499	4.418.411					R0190	5.937.738	
N-5	R0200					1.765.899	5.283.120					R0200	6.590.619	
N-4	R0210			2.024.990	5.935.045							R0210	7.700.944	
N-3	R0220		1.906.425	5.165.849								R0220	7.190.839	
N-2	R0230	1.676.173	5.631.605									R0230	7.538.030	
N-1	R0240	3.282.865	6.648.712									R0240	8.324.885	
N	R0250	7.430.791										R0250	10.713.656	
Total	R0260											R0260	63.565.647	

Gross undiscounted Best Estimate Claims Provisions
(absolute amount)

Year	Development year											Year end (discounted data)		
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10&+		C0360	
Prior	R0100												R0100	
N-9	R0160												R0160	
N-8	R0170												R0170	
N-7	R0180												R0180	
N-6	R0190												R0190	
N-5	R0200												R0200	
N-4	R0210												R0210	
N-3	R0220												R0220	
N-2	R0230												R0230	
N-1	R0240												R0240	
N	R0250												R0250	
Total													R0260	

Section G
S.23.01.01
Own funds

Basic own funds before deduction for participations in other financial sector as foreseen in article 68 of Delegated Regulation 2015/35

Ordinary share capital (gross of own shares)
Share premium account related to ordinary share capital
Initial funds, members' contributions or the equivalent basic own - fund item for mutual and mutual-type undertakings
Subordinated mutual member accounts
Surplus funds
Preference shares
Share premium account related to preference shares
Reconciliation reserve
Subordinated liabilities
An amount equal to the value of net deferred tax assets
Other own fund items approved by the supervisory authority as basic own funds not specified above

Own funds from the financial statements that should not be represented by the reconciliation reserve and do not meet the criteria to be classified as Solvency II own funds

Own funds from the financial statements that should not be represented by the reconciliation reserve and do not meet the criteria to be classified as Solvency II own funds

Deductions

Deductions for participations in financial and credit institutions

Total basic own funds after deductions

Ancillary own funds

Unpaid and uncalled ordinary share capital callable on demand
Unpaid and uncalled initial funds, members' contributions or the equivalent basic own fund item for mutual and mutual - type undertakings, callable on demand
Unpaid and uncalled preference shares callable on demand
A legally binding commitment to subscribe and pay for subordinated liabilities on demand
Letters of credit and guarantees under Article 96(2) of the Directive 2009/138/EC
Letters of credit and guarantees other than under Article 96(2) of the Directive 2009/138/EC
Supplementary members calls under first subparagraph of Article 96(3) of the Directive 2009/138/EC

Supplementary members calls - other than under first subparagraph of Article 96(3) of the Directive 2009/138/EC

Other ancillary own funds

Total ancillary own funds

Available and eligible own funds

Total available own funds to meet the SCR
Total available own funds to meet the MCR
Total eligible own funds to meet the SCR
Total eligible own funds to meet the MCR

SCR

MCR

Ratio of Eligible own funds to SCR

Ratio of Eligible own funds to MCR

Reconciliation reserve

Excess of assets over liabilities
Own shares (held directly and indirectly)
Foreseeable dividends, distributions and charges
Other basic own fund items
Adjustment for restricted own fund items in respect of matching adjustment portfolios and ring fenced funds

Reconciliation reserve

Expected profits

Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP) - Life business
Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP) - Non- life business

Total Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP)

	Total	Tier 1 - unrestricted	Tier 1 - restricted	Tier 2	Tier 3
	C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050
R0010	15.646.500	15.646.500			
R0030					
R0040					
R0050					
R0070					
R0090					
R0110					
R0130	122.444.553	122.444.553			
R0140					
R0160					
R0180					
R0220					
R0230					
R0290	138.091.053	138.091.053			
R0300					
R0310					
R0320					
R0330					
R0340					
R0350					
R0360					
R0370					
R0390					
R0400					
R0500	138.091.053	138.091.053			
R0510	138.091.053	138.091.053			
R0540	138.091.053	138.091.053			
R0550	138.091.053	138.091.053			
R0580	57.862.724				
R0600	14.465.681				
R0620	238,65%				
R0640	954,61%				

C0060

R0700	138.091.053				
R0710					
R0720					
R0730	15.646.500				
R0740					
R0760	122.444.553				
R0770	58.746.976				
R0780	-				
R0790	58.746.976				

Section G
S.25.01.21
Solvency Capital Requirement - for undertakings on Standard Formula

Market risk
 Counterparty default risk
 Life underwriting risk
 Health underwriting risk
 Non-life underwriting risk
 Diversification
 Intangible asset risk
Basic Solvency Capital Requirement

Calculation of Solvency Capital Requirement

Operational risk
 Loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions
 Loss-absorbing capacity of deferred taxes
 Capital requirement for business operated in accordance with Art. 4 of Directive 2003/41/EC

Solvency Capital Requirement excluding capital add-on

Capital add-on already set

Solvency capital requirement

Other information on SCR

Capital requirement for duration-based equity risk sub-module
 Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for remaining part
 Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for ring fenced funds
 Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for matching adjustment portfolios
 Diversification effects due to RFF nSCR aggregation for article 304

	Gross solvency capital requirement	USP	Simplifications
	C0110	C0090	C0100
R0010	39.407.159		
R0020	4.351.988		
R0030	33.406.170		
R0040	9.828.854		
R0050			
R0060	-23.904.327		
R0070			
R0100	63.089.844		
	C0100		
R0130	3.038.984		
R0140			
R0150	-8.266.103		
R0160			
R0200	57.862.724		
R0210			
R0220	57.862.724		
R0400			
R0410			
R0420			
R0430			
R0440			

Section G

S.28.02.01

Minimum Capital Requirement - Both life and non-life insurance activity

	Non-life activities	Life activities
	$MCR_{(NL,NL)}$	$MCR_{(NL,L)}$
	Result	Result
	C0010	C0020
Linear formula component for non-life insurance and reinsurance obligations	R0010	373.441

- Medical expense insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Income protection insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Workers' compensation insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Motor vehicle liability insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Other motor insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Marine, aviation and transport insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Fire and other damage to property insurance and proportional reinsurance
- General liability insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Credit and suretyship insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Legal expenses insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Assistance and proportional reinsurance
- Miscellaneous financial loss insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Non-proportional health reinsurance
- Non-proportional casualty reinsurance
- Non-proportional marine, aviation and transport reinsurance
- Non-proportional property reinsurance

	Non-life activities	Life activities		
	Net (of reinsurance/SPV) best estimate and TP calculated as a whole	Net (of reinsurance) written premiums in the last 12 months	Net (of reinsurance/SPV) best estimate and TP calculated as a whole	Net (of reinsurance) written premiums in the last 12 months
	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060
R0020				7.945.562
R0030				
R0040				
R0050				
R0060				
R0070				
R0080				
R0090				
R0100				
R0110				
R0120				
R0130				
R0140				
R0150				
R0160				
R0170				

	Non-life activities		Life activities	
	MCR _(L,NL)		MCR _(L,L)	
	Result		Result	
	C0070	C0080		
Linear formula component for life insurance and reinsurance obligations	R0200		9.513.566	

Obligations with profit participation - guaranteed benefits
Obligations with profit participation - future discretionary benefits
Index-linked and unit-linked insurance obligations
Other life (re)insurance and health (re)insurance obligations
Total capital at risk for all life (re)insurance obligations

	Non-life activities		Life activities	
	Net (of reinsurance/SPV) best estimate and TP calculated as a whole		Net (of reinsurance/SPV) total capital at risk	
	C0090	C0100	C0110	C0120
R0210				
R0220			8.179.908	
R0230			359.000.270	
R0240			18.522.511	
R0250				7.036.946.474

Overall MCR calculation

Linear MCR	R0300	9.887.007
SCR	R0310	57.862.724
MCR cap	R0320	14.465.681
MCR floor	R0330	26.038.226
Combined MCR	R0340	14.465.681
Absolute floor of the MCR	R0350	6.200.000
	C0130	
Minimum Capital Requirement	R0400	14.465.681

Notional non-life and life MCR calculation

	Non-life activities		Life activities	
	C0140	C0150		
Notional linear MCR	R0500	373.441	9.513.566	
Notional SCR excluding add-on (annual or latest calculation)	R0510	2.185.529	55.677.196	
Notional MCR cap	R0520	54.638.218	1.391.929.889	
Notional MCR floor	R0530	98.348.793	2.505.473.800	
Notional Combined MCR	R0540	546.382	13.919.299	
Absolute floor of the notional MCR	R0550	2.500.000	3.200.000	
Notional MCR	R0560	546.382	13.919.299	

H. Audit Report

H. Audit Report